

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1		
09/742,78	B5 12/20.	/00 CU	RATOLO		W	PC10755AJTJ	
				\neg	EXAMINER		
HM12/1010 GREGG C. BENSON					FUBARA, B		
PFIZER INC.					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	EPARTMENT,	MS 4159				2	
	POINT ROAD				1615)	
GROTON CI	I 06340				DATE MAILED:		
					10/10/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No	.	Applicant(s)					
		09/742,785	79	CURATOLO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	·	Blessing M. Ful	hara	1615					
•	- The MAILING DATE of this communication								
Period fo	• •								
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, ho n. a reply within the statutory neriod will apply and will expirtatute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□		This action is non-	final.						
3)□									
Disposition	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-155</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from conside	eration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-155</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requir	ement.						
Application	on Papers								
9)🛛 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
44)[] =	Applicant may not request that any objection t								
11)[1	he proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.					
12\□ 1	If approved, corrected drawings are required in the oath or declaration is objected to by the		iction.						
<i>,</i> —	•	e Examilier.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	raian priority, updar 1	DE ILC C C 140/4	s) (d) on (f)					
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b)	reign priority under .	55 U.S.C. 9 119(8	a)-(d) or (i).					
•	<u> </u>	ante have heen rec	roived						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the Internationa ee the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	-							
Attachment	(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/742,785 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-155 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piergiorgio et al. (US 4,880,623).

Piergiorgio teaches a composition comprising nifedipine (an anti-hypertensive),
polyethylene glycol, hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose and other excipients (abstract and example
2). Piergiorgio teaches that the bioavailability of the drug in the above composition is highly
increased. However, Piergiorgio does not teach the drug concentration in the use environment
after introduction of the composition in the use environment is 1.25 fold the equilibrium
concentration of said drug in said environment. But one of ordinary skill in the art would know
routine methods of determining that parameter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of
ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Piergiorgio.
One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the composition of
Piergiorgio where the drug displays increased bioavailability in the environment of use.
Although, applicants say on page 6, lines 1 and 2 that Piergiorgio does not compare different
drug forms, applicants failed to demonstrate that the instant composition displays a higher
bioavailability than the composition of Piergiorgio. Examples 1-20 of the application are

6'

Application/Control Number: 09/742,785

Art Unit: 1615

directed to amorphous drug forms and there is no comparison between the amorphous form and crystalline forms.

3. Claims 1-155 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamichi et al. (US 5,456,923).

Nakamichi teaches compositions that comprise solid dispersions of drugs (abstract). The composition further comprises natural or synthetic polymer. The polymer is pH-dependent, pH independent or water-soluble. The polymers include hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose acetate succinate, carboxymethylethylcellulose, cellulose acetate phthalate, hydroxypropylcellulose and hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose (column 2, lines 33-59). The drugs which can be used in the invention are antipyretic, analgesic and antiinflammatory agents, anti-ulcer agents, coronary vasodilators, peripheral vasodilators, antibiotics, anti-spasmodic agents, anti-tussive and anti-asthmatic agents, bronchodilators, diuretics and muscle relaxants (column 3, line 50 to column 5 line 56). The preferred drugs in the invention of Nakamichi are non-heat labile drugs (column 3, line 51). Although, Nakamichi teaches increased bioavailability these drugs, the reference is silent on the concentration of the drug in the use environment following administration compared to the equilibrium concentration of the drug in said use environment. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would know routine methods of determining that parameter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Nakamichi. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the composition of Nakamichi where the drug displays increased bioavailability in the environment of use. Although, applicants say on page 5, lines 4-11 say that Nakamichi teaches amorphous drug

Application/Control Number: 09/742,785

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

forms, applicants failed to demonstrate that the instant composition displays a higher bioavailability than the composition of Nakamichi. Furthermore, examples 1-20 of the application are directed to amorphous drug forms and there is no comparison between the amorphous form and crystalline forms.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 3, line 20 does

not have the US Patent number listed.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara October 5, 2001

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY RATERITY EXAMINER
(ECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600